Gravitational-wave Course

Instructor: Alessandra Buonanno

Tutor: Raj Patil Tutor: Lorenzo Pompili

Solutions to HW6

Newtonian quadrupolar tidal T^I imprint in GW phasing 2 System! Neutron star (NS) and Black hole (BM) $\begin{picture}(180,10) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){10}} \put(10,0){\line(1,0){10}} \put(10,0){\line($ binery of total mose M $N₂$ Where the dynamics is given by Lagrangian, $L = 1$ m, $\dot{\vec{\tau}}_1^2 + 1$ m₂ $\dot{\vec{\tau}}_2^2 - \sqrt{(\vec{\tau}_1 - \vec{\tau}_2)}$ where, $\frac{1}{10}$ is the position of BiP lets first convert the problem from two $(M, \Omega, \mathbb{Q}_0)$ body to one body by going to center-of-mass
co-ordinates, defined by $M_1 \overline{\sigma}_1 + M_2 \overline{\sigma}_2 = \overline{O}$.
Here we also shifted the center of mass to the origin \overline{O} . $\Rightarrow \qquad \overline{r_1} = \frac{m_2 \overline{r}}{m_1 + m_2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{r_2} = -\frac{m_1 \overline{r}}{m_1 + m_2} \qquad \text{where} \qquad \overline{r_3} = \overline{r_1} - \overline{r_2}$ Thus the Lagrangian becomes, $1 = \frac{1}{2} m_1 \left(\frac{m_2 \dot{\vec{r}}}{M_1 + m_2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_2 \left(\frac{-m_1 \dot{\vec{r}}}{M_1 + m_2} \right)^2 - \mathcal{V}(\vec{r})$ = $\frac{1}{2}$ m, m₂ $\frac{1}{8}$ + $\frac{1}{2}$ m₂ m₁² $\frac{1}{8}$ - V($\sqrt{81}$) = $1/m_1m_2$ $\frac{1}{8}$ $\frac{m_2}{m_1+m_2}$ + $\frac{m_1}{m_1+m_2}$ - $V(\frac{1}{10})$ Where $\mu = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2} = \text{reduced}$ $=$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\mu \dot{\overline{r}}^2 - N(\overline{r})$ Converting the above in polaz-co-ordinates (0.4,02) Whese, $\tau = \sqrt{3x^2 + 3y^2} \text{ and } \phi = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{3y}{3z}\right)$ $\mathcal{R} \equiv (\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_3, \mathcal{R}_4)$ \mathbf{r} $m = \gamma cos \phi$ $\gamma_1 = \gamma \sin \phi$

$$
\dot{r}_{n} = \dot{r} \cos \phi - \sigma \sin \phi \dot{\phi}
$$
\n
$$
\dot{r}_{g} = \dot{r} \sin \phi + \tau \cos \phi \dot{\phi}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\pi^{2}}{3} = \dot{r}_{n}^{2} + \dot{r}_{g}^{2} + \dot{r}_{g}^{2} = \dot{r}^{2} + \tau^{2} \dot{\phi}^{2} + \tau_{g}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
\dot{\tau}^{2} = \dot{r}_{n}^{2} + \dot{r}_{g}^{2} + \dot{r}_{g}^{2} = \dot{r}^{2} + \tau^{2} \dot{\phi}^{2} + \tau_{g}^{2}
$$
\nNow, assuming that the binary is moving in $2 - \frac{\pi}{3}$ plane and thus $\sigma_{\phi} = 0$. In polar-corodivated, the logarithm is given by

\n
$$
L = \frac{1}{2} \mu (\dot{r}^{2} + \tau^{2} \dot{\phi}^{2}) - \nu(\tau)
$$
\nWhere for the potential $V(\sigma)$ we known,

\nOne approximation between the parameters σ and σ are defined by

\nNeutronian potential

$$
V_{M}(\sigma) = -m_{1}m_{2} = -\mu_{M} \qquad \text{where} \qquad M = m_{1}+m_{2}
$$

$$
\sigma \qquad \sigma \qquad \text{and} \qquad \text{we set} \qquad G = 1
$$

1 the effects of Newtonian tidal field on response quadrupole moment are given by $V_Q(\sigma) = +\frac{1}{2} Q^{i\dot{j}} \mathcal{L}_{i\dot{j}}$

where
$$
E_{ij} = \text{Newtonian}
$$
 field

\n $= -m_{\text{BH}} \frac{\partial i}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{x} \right)$

\n $= -m_{\text{BH}} \frac{\partial i \eta i}{\partial x^2} \left(\frac{1}{x} \right)$

\n $= -m_{\text{BH}} \frac{\partial i \eta i \eta i - g \psi}{\partial x^3}$

\n $m^2 n^2 = \alpha_1 \frac{1}{x} \frac{1}{x^2}$

3) the potential energy of conservative response quadrupole moment

$$
V_{\tau_{m}}(\sigma) = +1 \qquad \omega_{ij} \alpha^{i}
$$

Here we compute dimensions of coupling constants appearing about.
\nNow
$$
c=1 \Rightarrow L=T
$$
 $\Rightarrow M= T=L$ so we measure
\n $G=1 \Rightarrow L^3 = T^2 M$ $\Rightarrow M = T=L$ So we measure
\n $G=1 \Rightarrow L^3 = T^2 M$ $\Rightarrow M = T=L$ so we measure
\n $G=1 \Rightarrow L^3 = T^2 M$

Now we make a coucial assumption,

Assumption: Quodnyole is adiobabically induced by
$$
- \lambda \leq j
$$

\nwhere λ is deformability parameters.

\n
$$
\Rightarrow V_{\alpha}(x) = +\frac{1}{2} \left(-\lambda \xi^{ij}\right) \xi_{ij}
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \lambda \xi^{ij} \xi_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \lambda m_{\alpha i}^{2} \left(3n_{i}^{2} + \frac{1}{3}i\right) \left(3n_{i}^{2} + \frac{1}{3}i\right)
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \lambda \frac{m_{\alpha i}^{2}}{8} \left(3 - 3 - 3 + 3\right) = -3 \lambda \frac{m_{\alpha i}^{2}}{8}
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \lambda \frac{m_{\alpha i}^{2}}{8} \left(3 - 3 - 3 + 3\right) = -3 \lambda \frac{m_{\alpha i}^{2}}{8}
$$
\n
$$
V_{\text{int}}(x) = \frac{1}{4} \left(-\lambda \xi_{ij}^{2}\right) \left(-\lambda \xi^{ij}\right) = \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(\xi^{ij} \xi_{ij}^{2}\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\lambda}{4} \frac{m_{\alpha i}^{2}}{8} \xi = \frac{3}{2} \lambda \frac{m_{\alpha i}^{2}}{8}
$$
\nHence,

\n
$$
L = \frac{1}{2} \mu \left(\dot{x}^{2} + \dot{x}^{2} \dot{\phi}^{2}\right) + \frac{\mu}{4} \mu + 3 \lambda \frac{m_{\alpha i}^{2}}{8} - \frac{3}{2} \lambda \frac{m_{\alpha i}^{2}}{8}
$$
\n
$$
L = \frac{1}{2} \mu \left(\dot{x}^{2} + \dot{x}^{2} \dot{\phi}^{2}\right) + \frac{\mu}{4} \mu + \frac{3}{2} \lambda \frac{m_{\alpha i}^{2}}{8}
$$
\n
$$
V = \frac{1}{2} \mu \left(\dot{x}^{2} + \dot{x}^{2} \dot{\phi}^{2}\right) + \frac{\mu}{4} \mu + \frac{3}{2} \lambda \frac{m_{\alpha i}^{2}}{8}
$$

@ Equation of motion

Using Eules- logsauge equation on Lagrangian given in (1),
equation of motion for 8 is given by
$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\sigma}}\right) = 0
$$

$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \mu 2 \sigma \dot{\phi}^{2} + \left(\frac{-1}{32}\right) \mu M + \left(\frac{-6}{37}\right) \frac{3}{2} \lambda m_{\text{BM}}^{2}
$$

\n
$$
= \mu \sigma \dot{\phi}^{2} - \mu M - 3 \lambda m_{\text{BM}}^{2}
$$

\n
$$
\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\sigma}}\right) = \mu \ddot{\sigma}
$$

\n
$$
\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\sigma}}\right) = \mu \ddot{\sigma}
$$

\n
$$
\frac{-\mu \ddot{\sigma} + \mu \sigma \dot{\phi}^{2} - \mu M - 9 \lambda m_{\text{BM}}^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} = 0
$$

and equation of motion for
$$
\phi
$$
 is given by $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi}\right) = 0$
 $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} = 0$
 $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} = \frac{1}{2} \mu \sigma^2 2\dot{\phi}$; $\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}}\right) = \mu (\sigma^2 \dot{\phi} + 2 \sigma \dot{\sigma} \dot{\phi})$

And since
$$
\pi
$$
 to in our system
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \qquad \qquad \boxed{\pi \ddot{\phi} + 2 \dot{\gamma} \dot{\phi} = 0} \qquad \qquad \qquad
$$

Linear tidal correction to radius:

Assumption	first	circular	3	4	4	4
(a) \Rightarrow $\mu \pi$ π ² - $\frac{\mu}{\pi}$	3	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$	4			
(b) \Rightarrow π ² + $\frac{\mu}{\pi}$	5	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$	6			
(c) \Rightarrow $\mu \pi$ π ² - $\frac{\mu}{\pi}$	5	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$	6			
(d) \Rightarrow π ² - $\frac{\mu}{\pi}$	3	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$	7			
(e) $\frac{\mu}{\pi}$	1	1				
(f) $\frac{\mu}{\pi}$	2					
(g) $\frac{\mu}{\pi}$	3	$\frac{\mu}{\pi}$	4			
(h) $\frac{\mu}{\pi}$	5	$\frac{\mu}{\pi}$	6			
(i) $\frac{\mu}{\pi}$	6					
(j) $\frac{\mu}{\pi}$	7	8				
(k) $\frac{\mu}{\pi}$	8	9				
(l) $\frac{\mu}{\pi}$	1	1				
(m) $\frac{\mu}{\pi}$	1					

$$
8\pi = \frac{1}{3\Omega^{2} (1 + 24(\frac{M}{M})(\frac{m_{U1}}{M})^{2} (\frac{(\Omega M)^{10/3}}{M})} \frac{3\lambda m_{BH}^{2} (\frac{\Omega^{2}}{M})^{2/3}}{\mu}.
$$

\nAssumption: $\frac{M}{\mu}$, $\frac{M_{BH}}{M}$, (M2) as = order are and $\frac{\lambda}{M^{5}} \ll 1$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \frac{8\pi}{\mu}
$$
, $\frac{M}{M}$, $\frac{M}{M}$, (M2) as = order are and $\frac{\lambda}{M^{5}} \ll 1$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \frac{8\pi}{\mu}
$$
 and $\frac{1}{\mu}$ (M2)^{5/3}
\nThus is a constant change in the radius of circular orbits
\ndue to the total deformations.
\nHence now the orbits are still circular up to order λ ,
\nbut with accuracy $\pi(\Omega) = (\frac{M}{\Omega^{2}})^{1/3} (1 + 8\pi)$

C Energy of the system!

Let's begin with the Lagrangian

\n
$$
L = \frac{1}{2} \mu \left(\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\phi}^2 \right) - V_m(\sigma) - V_0(\sigma) - V_{mk}(\sigma)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \mu \left(\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\phi}^2 \right) + \frac{\mu M}{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \varphi \dot{\phi} \mathcal{E}_{ij} - \frac{1}{4} \varphi \dot{\phi} \dot{\phi}^2
$$

Now computing conjugate nonventes for 8 and 4,

$$
P_6 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\sigma}} = \mu \dot{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\sigma}} (\mathcal{Q}^{\dot{\psi}}) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{Q}_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\sigma}} (\mathcal{Q}^{\dot{\psi}})
$$

$$
= \mu \dot{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\sigma}} (\mathcal{Q}^{\dot{\psi}}) \left[\mathcal{L}_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{Q}_{ij} \right]
$$

$$
P_{\phi} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} = \mu \sigma^{2} \dot{\phi} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (\dot{\phi}^{\dot{\phi}}) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (\dot{\phi}^{\dot{\phi}})
$$

$$
= \mu \sigma^{2} \dot{\phi} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\phi}} (\dot{\phi}^{\dot{\phi}}) \left[\mathcal{E}i \right] + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}i \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}i \right]
$$

Now computing the Hamiltonian

$$
H = \dot{\sigma} p_{\sigma} + \dot{\phi} p_{\phi} - L
$$

\n
$$
= \dot{\sigma} \left\{ \mu \dot{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\sigma}} (\alpha^{\dot{\sigma}}) \left[\varepsilon_{\dot{\sigma}} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \alpha_{\dot{\sigma}} \right] \right\}
$$

\n
$$
+ \dot{\phi} \left\{ \mu \sigma^2 \dot{\phi} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\phi}} (\alpha^{\dot{\sigma}}) \left[\varepsilon_{\dot{\sigma}} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \alpha_{\dot{\sigma}} \right] \right\}
$$

\n
$$
- \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \mu (\dot{\sigma}^2 + \sigma^2 \dot{\phi}^2) + \mu \frac{M}{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^{\dot{\sigma}} \varepsilon_{\dot{\sigma}} - \frac{1}{4} \alpha_{\dot{\sigma}} \alpha^{\dot{\sigma}} \dot{\phi}^2 \right\}
$$

Now assuming

adiabatic quadrupoles $Q_{ij} = -\lambda Z_{ij} \implies \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}} Q_{ij} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial Q_{ij}}{\partial \dot{\phi}} = 0$ and circular orbits \Rightarrow $\dot{s} = 0 = \dot{s}$ and $\dot{\phi} = \Omega$,

$$
H = \mu \dot{r}^{2} + \mu \dot{r}^{2} \dot{\phi}^{2} - \left[\frac{1}{2} \mu (\dot{r}^{2} + \dot{r}^{2} \dot{\phi}^{2}) + \frac{\mu M}{r} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\lambda m_{BH}^{2}}{r^{6}} \right]
$$

$$
H = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_{\phi}^{2}}{\mu r^{2}} - \frac{\mu M}{r} - \frac{3}{2} \lambda m_{BH}^{2}
$$

$$
10 \text{ so } \text{so } k \text{th/} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2} (1 + 8x) \int_{0}^{2} (1 + 8
$$

Q. Orbindal effects: before jumping into the computation of energy flux and passing due to hidden effects, lets focus on the orbital confusion we assume,

\n**Arsumplan**: The binary is node of two point particles of
$$
\overline{n}_1
$$
 and \overline{n}_2 of **most** m_1 and m_2 , moving in circular orbit.

\nIn center of **most** frame and expression coordinates

\n
$$
\alpha_{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \alpha_{\mathbf{x}}(2t) = \omega_{\mathbf{x}}(2t)
$$

\nNow the Qxodrange is known by,

\n
$$
T_{11} = \frac{1}{2} \mu \sigma^2 \left[1 + \omega_{\mathbf{x}}(2t) - \omega_{\mathbf{x}}(2t)\right]
$$

\nwhose components are given by,

\n
$$
T_{12} = T_{11} = \frac{1}{2} \mu \sigma^2 \left[1 + \omega_{\mathbf{x}}(2t) - \omega_{\mathbf{x}}(2t)\right]
$$

\n
$$
T_{13} = T_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \mu \sigma^2 \sin(2t)
$$

whose components are given by,
$$
I_1 = \frac{1}{2} \mu \sigma^2 [1 + (es(22\pi))
$$

\n $I_2 = I_1 = I_2 = \frac{1}{2} \mu \sigma^2 [1 - (es(22\pi))]$
\n $I_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \mu \sigma^2 [1 - (es(22\pi))]$
\n $I_{13} = 0$

which we want to do

\n
$$
[H_{ij}] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{1+}(\text{os(22t)}) & \text{2}(\text{22t}) & 0 \\
\text{2}(\text{22t}) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0\n\end{array}\right] \left(\frac{1}{2}\mu\sigma^{2}\right)
$$

Computing reduced quadrupole moment,

\n(or b)

\n
$$
Q_{ij} = I_{ij} - 1 \cdot S_{ij} \cdot I_{k+1}
$$
\n
$$
= \left[\frac{1 + (s_{s}(z_{2}t_{1}) - S_{i}(z_{2}t_{2})) - 0}{s_{in}(z_{2}t_{1}) - (s_{in}(z_{2}t_{1}) - 0)} \right] \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu s^{2} \right) - \frac{2}{3} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu s^{2} \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu s^{2} \right) \frac{1}{3} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 + 3(\cos(2.2t_{1}) - 3 \sin(22t_{1}) - 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{array} \right]
$$

Now the compute energy flux due to the orbital quadrant plate, which is given by
$$
P = +\frac{1}{5} \left\langle \frac{d^3}{dt^2} (\hat{a}_1 \hat{u}) \frac{d^3}{dt^4} (\hat{a}_2 \hat{u}) \right\rangle
$$
 for this, we need
\n $\left[\frac{d^3 (\hat{a}_1 \hat{u})}{dt^3} \right] = \left(\frac{1}{2} N^2 \right) (2 \cdot 2)^3 \left[-\frac{4 \cdot 2}{4 \cdot 2} (2 \cdot 1) \right] - \frac{5 \cdot 2 \cdot 2}{4 \cdot 2} (0) \right]$
\nUsing above in the formula for power,
\n $P_{(m)} = \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5} \left\langle \frac{r}{4} M^2 \right) (2 \cdot 2)^3 \left(-\frac{4 \cdot 2}{1} (2 \cdot 1) - \frac{5 \cdot 2 \cdot 2}{1} (2 \cdot 1) \right)$
\n $= \frac{32}{5} - \mu^2 \eta^4 \Omega^6$
\n $= \frac{32}{5} - \frac{\mu^2 \eta^4 \Omega^6}{4 \cdot 2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)$
\nNow computing total enough of the system using the Hamiltonian
\n $\frac{1}{3} (m n)$ is given by the formula for $\frac{1}{3} (m n)$ is given by $\frac{1}{3} (m n)$ is given by the formula for $\frac{1}{3} (m n)$ is given by $\frac{1}{3} (m n)$ is given by $\frac{1}{3} (m n)$.
\n $\frac{1}{3} (m n)$ is given by $\frac{1}{3} (m n)$ is given by $\frac{1}{3} (m n)$.
\n $\frac{1}{3} (m n)$ is given by $\frac{1}{3} (m n)$ is given by $\frac{1}{3} (m n)$.
\n $\frac{1}{3} (m n)$ is given by $\frac{1}{3} (m n)$ is given by $\frac{1}{3} (m n)$.
\n $\frac{$

1 Tidal contribution to the energy flux:

For this we begin by computing quadrupole moment due to tidale

$$
Q_{ij} = -\lambda \mathcal{L}_{ij} = \lambda m_{BH} \left(\frac{3n_i n_j - S_{ij}}{\sigma^3} \right)
$$

where,
$$
v^i \equiv (3 \cos(2\theta), \sin(2\theta), \omega)
$$

$$
n^i \equiv (6 \cos(2\theta), \sin(2\theta), \omega)
$$

writing the above in matoix form,

$$
[Q_{ij}] = \frac{\lambda m_{BH}}{\pi^3} \left[\begin{array}{c} 3 \quad (\text{os(2b)}) & (\text{os(2b) } \text{sin(2b)} \quad 0 \quad) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] - \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)
$$

$$
\equiv \frac{\lambda \text{min}}{\sigma^2} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 3(\text{csc}(2t))^2 - 1 & 3 \text{csc}(2t) \text{sin}(2t) & 0 \\ 3 \text{sin}(2t) & \text{csc}(2t) & 3(\text{sin}(2t))^2 - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{array} \right]
$$

$$
\left[\frac{d^{3}}{dt^{3}}(Q_{ij})\right] = \lambda m_{BN} (12.52^{3}) \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{S_{in}(2.2L)}{-6s(2.2L)} & -\frac{S_{in}(2.2L)}{-6s(2.2L)} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]
$$

Now computing energy flux due to the total quadratic
moment

$$
Q_{ij}^{(T)} = Q_{ij(orb)} + Q_{ij}
$$

$$
P = \frac{1}{5} \left\langle \frac{d^{3}}{dt^{3}} \left(\frac{G_{ij}}{dt^{3}} \right) \frac{d^{2}}{dt^{3}} \left(\frac{G_{ij}}{dt^{3}} \right) \right\rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{5} \left\langle \frac{d^{3}}{dt^{3}} \left(\frac{G_{ij}}{dt^{3}} \left(\frac{G_{ij}}{dt^{3}} \right) - \frac{G_{ij}}{dt^{3}} \right) \frac{d^{3}}{dt^{3}} \left(\frac{G_{ij}}{dt^{3}} \right) \right\rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{5} \left\langle \frac{d^{3}}{dt^{3}} \left(\frac{G_{ij}}{dt^{3}} \left(\frac{G_{ij}}{dt^{3}} \right) - \frac{G_{ij}}{dt^{3}} \right) \right\rangle
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{5} \left\langle \frac{d^{3}}{dt^{3}} \left(\frac{G_{ij}}{dt^{3}} \right) \frac{d^{3}}{dt^{3}} \left(\frac{G_{ij}}{dt^{3}} \right) \right\rangle
$$

$$
P = \frac{1}{5} (l_{P} \mu_{B}^{2} \Omega_{S})^{2} 2 + \frac{2}{5} (l_{P} \mu_{B}^{2} \Omega_{S}) (\frac{\lambda m_{BM}}{\sigma^{2}} (l_{Z} \Omega_{S})) 2 + \mathcal{O}(X^{2})
$$

\nNow substituting the value of $\sigma(2)$ using equation (i)
\n
$$
P = \frac{1}{5} (l_{P} \mu_{B}^{2})^{2} [(\frac{M}{\Omega^{2}})^{1/2} (l_{B} \Omega_{S})^{1/2} 2 + \mathcal{O}(X^{2})
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{l_{R}}{5} (l_{W} \Omega_{S}) (\lambda m_{BM} (2 \Omega_{S})) + \mathcal{O}(X^{2})
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{5} (l_{P} \mu_{B}^{2})^{2} (M_{A}^{1/4})(l_{P} \Omega_{S}) (\lambda m_{BM} (2 \Omega_{S})) + \mathcal{O}(X^{2})
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{5} (l_{P} \mu_{B}^{2})^{2} (M_{A}^{1/4})(l_{P} \Omega_{S}) (\lambda m_{BM} (2 \Omega_{S})) (\frac{\Omega^{2}}{\mu^{2}})^{1/3} + \mathcal{O}(X^{2})
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{32}{5} (\frac{\mu^{2}}{M^{2}})^{M^{1/2} \Omega^{1/3}} [1 + 12 (\frac{\lambda}{M^{2}}) (\frac{m_{B}^{2}}{M^{2}})^{M^{1/2}} \lambda^{1/3} + \mathcal{O}(X^{2})]
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{32}{5} (\frac{\mu^{2}}{M^{2}})^{M^{1/2} \Omega^{1/3}} [1 + 12 (\frac{\lambda}{M^{2}}) (\frac{m_{B}^{2}}{M^{2}})^{M^{1/2}} \lambda^{1/3} + \mathcal{O}(X^{2})]
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{32}{5} (\frac{\mu^{2}}{M^{2}})^{M^{2}} (M \Omega)^{1/3} [1 + \mathcal{O}(\frac{\lambda}{M^{2}}) (\frac{m_{B}^{1}}{M^{2}})^{M^{1/2}} \lambda^{1/3} + \mathcal{O}(X^{2})]
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{32}{
$$

For this we need, the total energy of the system given by equation 1 ,

$$
E = -1 \mu M^{2/3} \Omega^{2/3} + 9 \lambda M_{BH}^{2} (\Omega^{2})^{2} + 6 \lambda^{2}
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \frac{dE}{d\Omega} = -1 \mu M^{2/3} \Omega^{1/3} + 18 \lambda M_{BH}^{2} \Omega^{3} + 6 \lambda^{2}
$$

\n
$$
= -1 \mu M^{2} (M \Omega)^{-1/3} + 18 (\lambda M_{BH}^{2})^{2} M^{2} (M \Omega)^{3} + 6 \lambda^{2}
$$

\n
$$
= -1 \mu M^{2} (M \Omega)^{-1/3} + 18 (\lambda M_{BH}^{2})^{2} M^{2} (M \Omega)^{3} + 6 \lambda^{2}
$$

Now computing the phosing using above and equation 6,

$$
\frac{d^{2} \Psi_{\phi\beta}}{d\Omega^{2}} = \frac{2}{\dot{\epsilon}} \frac{dE_{d\Omega}}{d\Omega} \\
= \frac{2}{\frac{d^{2}}{3} \left(\frac{1}{M}\right) M^{2} (M\Omega)^{-1/3} - 18 \left(\frac{\lambda}{M^{5}}\right) {m_{\theta y}}^{2} M^{2} (M\Omega)^{3} + O(\lambda^{2})}{M^{5}} \\
= \frac{2}{\frac{32}{5} (\frac{\mu}{M})^{2} (M\Omega)^{10/3} \left[1 + G\left(\frac{\lambda}{M^{5}}\right) {M \choose \mu} {m_{\theta y}}^{2} (M\Omega)^{10/3} \left(1 + 2 \frac{m_{\theta y}}{M}\right) + O(\lambda^{2})}{M^{5}}\n\right] \\
= \frac{10}{96} (\frac{M}{\mu}) M^{2} (M\Omega)^{-1/3} - \frac{36 \times 5}{32} (\frac{\lambda}{M^{5}}) {m_{\theta y}}^{2} {M^{3}}^{2} (M\Omega)^{-1/3}
$$

$$
-\frac{60}{96} \left(\frac{M}{M}\right)^2 M^2 (M\Omega)^{-1/3} \left(\frac{\lambda}{M}\right) \left(\frac{mgy}{M}\right) \left(\frac{1+2mgy}{M}\right) + \Theta(\lambda^2)
$$

 $\overline{\mathsf{d}}$

$$
= \frac{5}{48} \left(\frac{M}{\mu}\right) M^2 \left(M\mathfrak{D}\right)^{-1/3} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{M^5}\right) \left(\frac{M\beta\gamma}{M}\right) M^2 \left(M\mathfrak{D}\right)^{-1/3} \left(\frac{5+55}{8} \frac{M\beta\gamma}{M}\right) + \Theta(\mathfrak{K})
$$

$$
\frac{d^{2}\Psi_{sph}}{d\Omega^{2}} = \frac{g}{(g)} \frac{M^{2}}{n} (x)^{-1/2} - \frac{5}{g} (\frac{\lambda}{M^{5}}) (\frac{m_{8H}}{M}) - \frac{M^{2}}{n^{2}} (x)^{-1/2} (1 + 11 \frac{m_{8H}}{M}) + O(\lambda^{2})
$$
\nwhere, we have used $x = (M\Omega)^{2/3}$ and $1 = \frac{\mu}{M}$.
\nFrom above we can see that the field phase acceleration scale is $x^{-1/2}$, which
\nas $x^{-1/2}$ where as leading order term scale as $x^{1/2}$, which

Black hole Quasi-Normal Modes II.

The plot of the results for the real and imaginary parts of the $\ell = 2$ quasinormal mode frequencies for a nonspinning black hole for different overtones looks as shown in Fig. 1.

When interpreting $\text{Re}(\omega_{n\ell})$ as an oscillation frequency and $\text{Im}(\omega_{n\ell})$ as a decay rate, the features exhibited in this plot seem counterintuitive based on expectations for the oscillation modes of a string or an elastic body, for which both the oscillation frequency and the decay rate increase with increasing overtone number *n*, i.e. with an increasing number of nodes in the wavefunction. The QNM plot, however, shows that $\text{Re}(\omega_{n\ell})$ is first decreasing with *n*, then has a zero, and then increases to an asymptotically constant value for large *n*.

This behavior can seem more natural when considering a re-interpretation of $\text{Re}(\omega_{n\ell})$ and $\text{Im}(\omega_{n\ell})$. To this end, we consider a simple damped oscillator with amplitude $\psi(t)$, oscillation frequency ω_0 , and linear damping γ_0 , obeying the equation of motion

$$
\ddot{\psi} + \gamma_0 \dot{\psi} + \omega_0^2 \psi = 0. \tag{1}
$$

The general solution is of the form

$$
\psi(t) = a_1 e^{i\omega_+ t} + a_2 e^{i\omega_- t},\tag{2}
$$

where a_1 and a_2 are constants determined by the initial conditions and

$$
\omega_{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - (\gamma_0/2)^2} + i \frac{\gamma_0}{2}.
$$
\n(3)

We see that the solutions are of the form $\exp[(\pm i\omega_{\rm R}?\omega_{\rm I})t]$, with

$$
\omega_{\mathcal{R}} = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - (\gamma_0/2)^2}, \qquad \omega_{\mathcal{I}} = \frac{\gamma_0}{2}.
$$
 (4)

Inverting this to solve for the parameters of the oscillator ω_0 and γ_0 in terms of the oscillation modes of the solution leads to

$$
\omega_0 = \sqrt{\omega_{\rm R}^2 + \omega_{\rm I}^2}, \qquad \gamma_0 = 2\omega_{\rm I}.
$$
\n(5)

Note that only in the limit $\gamma_0/2 \ll \omega_0$ corresponding to very long-lived modes we get the identification $\omega_0 \approx \omega_R$. However, when modeling the quasinormal modes of black holes as arising from oscillator degrees of freedom analogous to those in Eq. (1), the opposite limit applies. This is seen in Fig. 1, where for most modes $\omega_I \gg \omega_R$. In this limit, the frequency of the oscillator degree of freedom is $\omega_0 \approx \omega_I$.

Taking into account the identification (5) between the frequency and damping of the oscillators and the real and imaginary parts of the frequency of the solution leads to the version of Fig. 1 shown in Fig. 2.

We observe that in terms of ω_0 the structure of the black hole frequency spectrum becomes similar to expectations for generic oscillators. The frequency ω_0 increases monotonically with the overtone number *n*, and since the damping coefficient $\gamma_0 = 2\omega_I$, the damping also increases monotonically with *n*. Thus, in terms of the equivalent harmonic oscillators, the least damped mode $(n = 1)$ also has the lowest value of ω_0 , and with increasing ω_0 the lifetime of the excitation becomes shorter.

FIG. 1: Real vs. imaginary parts of the $\ell = 2$ quasinormal mode frequencies for a Schwarzschild black hole for different overtones (red dots).

FIG. 2: Frequency of the equivalent oscillator degrees of freedom for quasinormal modes of a Schwazschild black hole (blue dots) computed from the relation (5).

3. Analytic representation for the quasi-normal modes

We consider the equation:

$$
r(r-1)\psi_{l,rr} + \psi_{l,r} - \left[\frac{\rho^2 r^3}{r-1} + l(l+1) - \frac{s^2 - 1}{r}\right]\psi_l = 0.
$$
 (1)

a) The singular values of Eq. (1) are $r = 0$ and $r = 1$. Now, we consider the ansatz:

$$
\psi_l = \exp[-\rho(r + \ln r)].\tag{2}
$$

By taking derivatives of this ansatz with respect to r , and substituting the results into Eq. (1) , we get:

$$
\frac{e^{-\rho(r+\ln r)}}{(r-1)r} \left\{ (1+\rho^2) - s^2 + r[s^2 - 1 - 2\rho + l(l+1)] - r^2[l(l+1) + 2\rho^2] \right\}
$$
 (3)

Employing the assumption that $\Re(\rho) > 0$ (so that the exponential always decays), this equation is equal to zero when $r \to \infty$.

Now, we consider the ansatz

$$
\psi_l = (r-1)^{\rho} = \epsilon^{\rho}.\tag{4}
$$

As in the first case, we substitute this ansatz into Eq. (1), and we get the expression:

$$
-\frac{(r-1)^{\rho}}{r}\left\{1-s^2+r^2\rho^2+r^3\rho^2+r[\rho+l(l+1)]\right\}.
$$
 (5)

If we take the limit $r \to 1$, then this expression is identical to zero, since we have $\Re(\rho) > 0$. b) and c) These are solved in the attached jupyter notebook.